

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	Civil No. 1:20CV950
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
\$34,900.00 in U.S. CURRENCY,	:	
Defendant.	:	

VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF FORFEITURE *IN REM*

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through Matthew G.T. Martin, United States Attorney for the Middle District of North Carolina, and respectfully states as follows:

1. This is a civil action *in rem* brought to enforce the provisions of 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) for the forfeiture of the defendant property, which was furnished or intended to be furnished in exchange for a controlled substance, in violation of the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 *et seq.*, or represents proceeds traceable to such an exchange, and was used or intended to be used to facilitate violation of the Controlled Substances Act.

2. This action is also brought to enforce the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) for the forfeiture of the aforesaid defendant property which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to an offense constituting specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1956(c)(7), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, specifically the exchange of a controlled substance in violation of state and federal law.

3. The defendant property is \$34,900.00 in U.S. Currency, which was seized on May 13, 2020, in Winston-Salem, North Carolina, while located within the jurisdiction of this Court, and has been deposited to the United States Marshals Service Asset Deposit Fund.

4. Plaintiff brings this action *in rem* in its own right to forfeit and condemn the defendant property. This Court has jurisdiction over an action commenced by the United States under 28 U.S.C. § 1345, and over an action for forfeiture under 28 U.S.C. § 1355(a).

5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1355(b)(1) and 1395, because the defendant property was seized while located in the jurisdiction of this Court, or one or more of the acts giving rise to forfeiture occurred in this district.

6. Upon the filing of this complaint, the Plaintiff requests that the Court issue an arrest warrant *in rem* pursuant to Supplemental Rule G(3)(b), which the plaintiff will execute upon the property pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(d) and Supplemental Rule G(3)(c).

7. The facts and circumstances supporting the seizure and forfeiture of the defendant property are contained in Exhibit A, attached hereto and wholly incorporated herein by reference.

WHEREFORE, the United States of America prays that process of a Warrant for Arrest and Notice *In Rem* be issued for the arrest of the defendant property; that due notice be given to all parties to appear and show cause why the forfeiture should not be decreed; that judgment be entered declaring the defendant property be forfeited to the United States of America for disposition according to law; and that the United States of America be granted such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

This the 15th day of October, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

MATTHEW G.T. MARTIN
United States Attorney

/s/ Nathan L. Strup
Nathan L. Strup, Mo. Bar No. 60287
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Middle District of North Carolina
101 S. Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor
Greensboro, NC 27401
(336) 333-5351/nathan.strup@usdoj.gov

VERIFICATION

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I verify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the contents of the foregoing Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.



10-15-20

James R. Rae
Task Force Officer
Drug Enforcement Administration